An Ultraconservative Technique for Restoring a Missing Central Incisor

Abstract
Replacing a missing anterior tooth involves addressing a variety of functional, biological, and esthetic factors to achieve a lifelike restoration. In addition, practitioners must understand and take into consideration the patient’s wants and desires to satisfy his or her expectations. This article presents a case in which an avulsed central incisor is restored with an ultraconservative, fixed prosthesis. In addition, soft-tissue development and contouring of a pontic site will be discussed as it pertains to overall esthetics.

Case Presentation
A 22-year-old man presented for emergency treatment of an avulsed left central incisor (tooth No. 9). The tooth was traumatically lost nearly 12 hours before and the patient did not possess the tooth. An oral examination, basic central nervous system evaluation, and necessary radiographs were performed. The patient was not experiencing any pain and did not have any hard- or soft-tissue injuries that required treatment other than the area of tooth No. 9. His primary concern was to “have something to fill in the space immediately.”

The young man also had an existing crossbite between teeth Nos. 10 and 23, so impressions for a Hawley-type appliance were taken to not only replace tooth No. 9, but also to correct the anterior crossbite. The interim prosthesis was delivered to the patient the next day. The appliance had acrylic on the maxillary posterior teeth to open the bite to allow movement of tooth No. 10, a screw mechanism on the palatal of tooth No. 10 to move it facially, and a labial arch wire.

Diagnosis
The preoperative appearance of the avulsion site after healing exhibited an extensive dished-out appearance of the buccal plate (Figure 1). The corresponding radiograph of the area not only indicated loss of the buccal plate, but also loss in bone level height. A large portion of the buccal plate and a portion of the coronal palatal bone were avulsed along with the tooth. The patient was referred to a periodontist for a consultation. It was determined, because of the unreliability of increasing vertical bone height with grafting, that the use of an implant to replace tooth No. 9 had to be eliminated because of esthetic concerns. The patient had 2 requests in regard to his treatment: he did not want a removable partial denture and he did not want his “teeth ground down.”

Treatment Plan
The following treatment plan was developed after carefully considering the clinical factors and the patient’s goals. Because an implant was not esthetically feasible, and a removable partial denture would not be acceptable to the patient, the only choice remaining would be a fixed prosthesis. A fixed, bonded bridge (Maryland bridge) would be used to replace the missing central incisor as it would fulfill the patient’s criteria to be nonremovable and would not require tooth reduction.
Resin bonded bridges have had a reputation for unreliability because of debonding; however, proper case selection is essential to ensure success with this type of prosthesis. The patient met all the requirements for a Maryland bridge, including short span, anterior location, adequate clearance, lack of deep overbite, immobile teeth, adequate enamel, and lack of parafunctional habits.

A soft-tissue, connective tissue graft would be needed to augment the gingiva in the area of tooth No. 9. This would be done after the left lateral incisor was moved out of crossbite and into proper position. The pontic site would then be shaped and the gingival tissue would develop over several months before placement of the final restoration.

**Gingival Development**

After the orthodontic positioning of tooth No. 10, a connective tissue graft was performed in the area of tooth No. 9 (Figure 2). After the grafting procedure, the buccal contour was still a bit deficient. The area was prepared (Figure 3) for a biconvex or egg-shaped pontic via the use of an electrosurgery unit (Sensimatic 500SE, Parkell, Inc). On the interim appliance (Figure 4), the denture tooth was contoured with acrylic (SNAP, Parkell, Inc) to fit into the pontic site. The tooth was overcontoured slightly and was then polished and placed into position (Figure 5). Immediately on placement, the tissue should show blanching indicating that the denture tooth is in firm contact with the tissue, which helps the tissue maintain its shape. The blanching should only last for about 15 seconds. The pontic site was healed (Figure 6), but esthetically the facial- gingival portion of the denture tooth was deficient (Figure 7). The soft tissue was not sculpted at this appointment, but the denture tooth was recontoured for esthetics (Figure 8). Again when the appliance was seated, slight transient tissue blanching occurred (Figure 9).

To maintain and develop tissue contours, the patient was instructed to wear the interim appliance 24 hours a day and to remove it only for hygiene purposes. Three weeks later, the pontic site was healed (Figure 6), but esthetically the facial- gingival portion of the denture tooth was deficient (Figure 7). The soft tissue was not sculpted at this appointment, but the denture tooth was recontoured for esthetics (Figure 8). Again when the appliance was seated, slight transient tissue blanching occurred (Figure 9).

Approximately 3 weeks later, further tissue contouring was performed with electrosurgery (Figure 10) to move the pontic site slightly palatal because the buccal gingiva was too thin. If the gingiva is too thin, it can recede from the pontic and cause an esthetic concern. The denture tooth
was shaped to angle the gingival-facial surface toward the palate and acrylic was added to slightly widen the tooth at the papilla level. These adjustments gave the central incisors similar gingival heights and provided more support for the papilla.

**Prosthetic Placement**

Figures 11 and 12 show the matured gingival tissue that has developed well around the interim denture tooth. The shape and contours of the denture tooth are esthetically satisfactory. Fabrication of the final prosthesis can now proceed. A shade was taken for the final prosthesis with the Vita Easyshade (Vident), and digital photos (Figure 13) of Vita 3D shade tabs (Vident) were e-mailed to the laboratory.

Tooth preparation was kept to a minimum, as there was plenty of clearance for the metal wings of the bridge. This is because there was only a 1.5 mm overbite and the incisors were out of occlusion by 0.5 mm. This also allowed for adequate thickness of the casting that would prevent any flex during function. The only preparations done on teeth Nos. 8 and 10 were cylindrical cuts into the cingulum area of each tooth (Figure 14). Because the main force vectors in the maxillary anterior were in an apical and labial direction, retentive slots would help resist dislodgement of the prosthesis from the apical-labial torque that would be applied during mastication.

The laboratory technician was instructed to keep the metal wings of the prosthesis off the incisal third to prevent darkening of the tooth because of the inhibition of light transmission. In addition, care was taken to make sure metal would not be visible interproximally or at the embrasure areas. The patient continued to wear the interim appliance 24 hours a day, taking it out only for oral hygiene purposes, to maintain the tissue contours at the pontic site.

The final prosthesis (Figures 15 and 16) was fabricated of nonprecious metal (Duceranium U, Dentsply Ceramco) and high-fusing feldspathic porcelain (Duceram Kiss, Dentsply Ceramco). The metal was sandblasted and then acid-etched to roughen the surface to aid in the retention of the resin cement. The bridge was designed to mimic the adjacent tooth and allow the gingival tissue and papilla to appear normal. The height of the papilla is determined by the level of the bone, the patient’s biological width, and the size and shape of the gingival.
embrasure. In a prosthesis involving a pontic, the papilla can be 6.0 mm to 9.0 mm above the crest of the interproximal bone on the adjacent teeth. A reliable average is 6.5 mm of papilla height when a pontic is used.

In this case, from radiographic evaluation and bone sounding, the interproximal contact point was placed within those parameters. To help position the papilla coronally, keep the gingival embrasure narrow. At the try-in of the bridge, the fit was verified and the pontic was carefully inspected to ensure adequate soft-tissue support. The clinician and patient then evaluated the esthetic qualities of the prosthesis and the patient approved its appearance.

The bridge was bonded in place with OptiBond dental adhesive (Kerr Corporation) with the dual-cure component added and Variolink 2 transparent resin cement (Ivoclar Vivadent). Alloy Primer (Kuraray America, Inc) was used to pretreat the metal because it has been demonstrated to increase bond strengths of nonprecious castings to resin up to 34.9 MPa. A dual-cure resin cement and adhesive was chosen over a self-cure because a dual-cure system provides more time to properly seat the prosthesis and to remove excess cement. Furthermore, the abutment teeth are devoid of restorations and are of average thickness (2.0 mm to 4.5 mm). Thus, light transmission through them would be excellent especially because the footprint of the metal wings does not cover a large surface area. This allows access for the curing unit (GC G-Light, GC America, Inc) from numerous locations to ensure sufficient cure of the resin cement and adhesive.

It also should be noted that an opaque, white try-in resin cement was evaluated at the seating appointment, but the abutment teeth appeared no different than with transparent cement. Therefore, because of the concern for adequate light transmission and subsequent cure, the transparent resin was chosen. The seated restoration yielded excellent esthetic results (Figures 17 and 18).

Conclusion

The most rewarding treatment a dentist can render is one that is functional, esthetic, and satisfies a patient’s desires. Whether it is a complete rehabilitation or restoring a single tooth, proper assessment, treatment planning, and selection of procedures is vitally important. In this case, an often overlooked procedure,
the winged-pontic bridge along with soft-tissue development, was successfully used to ultraconservatively and esthetically replace a missing maxillary central incisor.
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